

A GENERALIZATION OF CURVE GENUS FOR AMPLE VECTOR BUNDLES, I

HIRONOBU ISHIHARA

ABSTRACT. A new genus $g = g(X, \mathcal{E})$ is defined for the pairs $(X, \mathcal{E})$ that consist of n -dimensional compact complex manifolds X and ample vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ of rank r less than n on X . In case $r = n - 1$, g is equal to curve genus. Above pairs $(X, \mathcal{E})$ with g less than two are classified. For spanned $\mathcal{E}$ it is shown that g is greater than or equal to the irregularity of X , and its equality condition is given.

Introduction.

Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n and $\mathcal{E}$ an ample vector bundle of rank r on X . A pair $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is called a generalized polarized manifold. It is a natural and interesting problem to generalize sectional genus, which is defined for polarized manifolds, for generalized polarized manifolds. Fujita [F3] defined c_1 -sectional genus and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -sectional genus for pairs $(X, \mathcal{E})$ as the sectional genus of $(X, \det \mathcal{E})$ and $(\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$ respectively. ($H(\mathcal{E})$ is the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$.) Fujita [F3] also gave the classification of $(X, \mathcal{E})$ of c_1 -sectional genus or $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -sectional genus less than three.

Ballico [Ba] defined another sectional genus, which was called curve genus in [LMS], for above $(X, \mathcal{E})$ with $r = n - 1$. He gave a classification of $(X, \mathcal{E})$ of curve genus zero, and of curve genus one for spanned $\mathcal{E}$ of rank two. Recently Lanteri and Maeda [LM2] have provided the classification of $(X, \mathcal{E})$ of curve genus zero or one under assumption on the existence of a regular section of $\mathcal{E}$. Later Maeda [M] has completed the classification of $(X, \mathcal{E})$ of curve genus zero or one by removing the above assumption for $\mathcal{E}$. Lanteri, Maeda and Sommese [LMS] obtained that for spanned $\mathcal{E}$ curve genus is greater than or equal to the irregularity $q(X)$ of X , and gave its equality condition.

In the present paper we generalize curve genus and define a new genus (which might be called “ c_r -sectional genus”) $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ for above $(X, \mathcal{E})$ with $r < n$ by the formula

$$2g(X, \mathcal{E}) - 2 := (K_X + (n - r)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}),$$

where K_X is the canonical bundle of X . We note that this genus is equal to curve genus when $r = n - 1$ and equal to (c_1) -sectional genus when $r = 1$. We see that

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14J60; Secondary 14C20, 14F05, 14J40.

Key words and phrases. Ample vector bundle, (c_1) -sectional genus, curve genus, (generalized) polarized manifold.

the properties for curve genus proved in the literatures above are extended to our c_r -sectional genus.

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 1 we examine fundamental properties of $g(X, \mathcal{E})$. We see that $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ is a non-negative integer and the classifications of $(X, \mathcal{E})$ with $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \leq 1$ are given. In particular we prove that if $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$, then $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$ unless $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is in two “boundary” cases, namely the cases $r = 1$ and $r = n - 1$. In Section 2 we show that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \geq q(X)$ for spanned $\mathcal{E}$ and we give its equality condition; the result is that $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$ when $1 < r < n - 1$. We give also another equality conditions as in [LMS].

We will further study the properties of $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ in a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgment.

The author is grateful to Dr. Yoshiaki Fukuma; this paper has grown out from their conversations.

1. Fundamental properties of $g(X, \mathcal{E})$.

Basically we use the standard notation as that in [H]. The tensor products of line bundles are usually denoted additively, while we use multiplicative notation for intersection products. For a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a variety X , we denote by $H(\mathcal{E})$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$, the associated projective space bundle in the sense of Grothendieck. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is spanned if $H(\mathcal{E})$ is spanned (by global sections). For an embedding $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$, we often denote the pull-back $\iota^*\mathcal{E}$ by $\mathcal{E}_Y$.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{E}$ an ample vector bundle of rank $r < n$ on X . We define a rational number $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ for a generalized polarized manifold $(X, \mathcal{E})$ by the formula

$$2g(X, \mathcal{E}) - 2 := (K_X + (n - r)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}),$$

where K_X is the canonical bundle of X .

Remark 1.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as above. When $r = 1$, $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ is the sectional genus of a polarized manifold $(X, \mathcal{E})$; when $r = n - 1$, $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ is the curve genus of a generalized polarized manifold $(X, \mathcal{E})$. In view of [FulL, Theorem I], we see that for every $r < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (K_X + (n - r)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) \\ & \leq (K_X + (n - 1)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) \\ & \leq (K_X + (n - 1)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

because $K_X + (n - 1)\det \mathcal{E}$ is nef unless $r = 1$. Hence we obtain $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \leq g(X, \det \mathcal{E})$, i.e., $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ is not greater than the c_1 -sectional genus of $(X, \mathcal{E})$.

Remark 1.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as above. Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{E})$ satisfies the condition

- (*) there exists a section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ whose zero locus $Z := (s)_0$ is a smooth submanifold of X of the expected dimension $n - r$.

Then $Z = c_r(\mathcal{E})$ in the Chow ring of X and

$$\begin{aligned} & (K_X + (n-r)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) \\ &= ([K_X + \det \mathcal{E}]_Z + (n-r-1)c_1(\mathcal{E}_Z))c_1(\mathcal{E}_Z)^{n-r-1} \\ &= 2g(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z) - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = g(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z)$, the sectional genus of $(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{E}$ is spanned, then $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies $(*)$ and $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = g(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z)$ because of Bertini's theorem.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in (1.1). Then $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ is an integer.*

Proof. (Cf. [Ba, Remark 4.1].) We take a sufficiently ample line bundle $L \in \text{Pic } X$ such that $\mathcal{E} \otimes L$ is spanned. Then there exists a non-zero section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes L)$ whose zero locus $Z := (s)_0$ is a smooth submanifold of X of dimension $n-r$. We see that $Z = c_r(\mathcal{E} \otimes L)$ in the Chow ring and

$$\begin{aligned} & (K_X + (n-r)c_1(\mathcal{E} \otimes L))c_1(\mathcal{E} \otimes L)^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E} \otimes L) \\ &= ([K_X + \det(\mathcal{E} \otimes L)]_Z + (n-r-1)c_1([\mathcal{E} \otimes L]_Z))c_1([\mathcal{E} \otimes L]_Z)^{n-r-1} \\ &= 2g(Z, \det[\mathcal{E} \otimes L]_Z) - 2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (K_X + (n-r)c_1(\mathcal{E} \otimes L))c_1(\mathcal{E} \otimes L)^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E} \otimes L) \\ &= (K_X + (n-r)(c_1(\mathcal{E}) + rL))(c_1(\mathcal{E}) + rL)^{n-r-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^r c_i(\mathcal{E})c_1(L)^{r-i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we can take $L = 2A$ for some $A \in \text{Pic } X$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (K_X + (n-r)(c_1(\mathcal{E}) + rL))(c_1(\mathcal{E}) + rL)^{n-r-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^r c_i(\mathcal{E})c_1(L)^{r-i} \right) \\ &\equiv (K_X + (n-r)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) \pmod{2} \\ &= 2g(X, \mathcal{E}) - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{E}$ an ample vector bundle of rank $r < n$ on X . Then we have $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \geq 0$. Moreover, when $1 < r < n-1$, we have $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ if and only if $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$.*

Proof. (Cf. [Ba, Remark 4.2].) First we note that if $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$, then we easily see $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. Suppose that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \leq 0$ in the following. We have

$$(K_X + (n-r)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) < 0.$$

In particular, we have $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E} \neq \mathcal{O}_X$. If $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E}$ is nef, by the base point free theorem, we get a non-zero member $D \in |m(K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E})|$ for some $m > 0$. For every irreducible component D' of D , we get

$$D'c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}_{D'})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}_{D'}) > 0$$

by [BlG, Theorem 2.5]. It follows that $Dc_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) > 0$, a contradiction. Hence $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E}$ is not nef. Then there exists an extremal ray R such that $(K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E})R < 0$. We take a rational curve C belonging to R and satisfying $l(R) = (-K_X)C$, where $l(R)$ is the length of R . Since $l(R) \leq n + 1$ and

$$(-K_X)C > (n - r)c_1(\mathcal{E})C \geq (n - r)r \geq n - 1,$$

we see that $r = 1$, $r = n - 1$, or $r = 2$ and $n = 4$.

When $r = 1$, by [F2, Corollary 1], we obtain $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$; when $r = n - 1$, by [M], we obtain $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. When $r = 2$ and $n = 4$, we have $l(R) = 5 = n + 1$, hence $\text{Pic } X \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $-K_X$ is ample by [W, (2.4) Proposition]. We take the ample generator H of $\text{Pic } X$ and we set $\det \mathcal{E} = aH$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E})C = 2$, we have $a = 1$ or 2 . If $a = 2$, then $K_X + 4H$ is not nef, hence $(X, H) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by [F2, Theorem 1]. Then we have $(\det \mathcal{E})L = 2$ for every line L in $\mathbb{P}^4$. It follows that $\mathcal{E}|_L = \mathcal{O}_L(1)^{\oplus 2}$, hence we obtain $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)^{\oplus 2}$ by [OSS, Chap. I (3.2.1)]. If $a = 1$, we can set $-K_X = b \cdot \det \mathcal{E}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $5 = (-K_X)C = b \cdot c_1(\mathcal{E})C = 2b$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 1.6. Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in (1.5). When $r = 1$, by Fujita [F2, Corollary 1] or Ionescu [I, Corollary 8], $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ if and only if $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is either $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$, $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$, $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$, or $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}))$ for some ample vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n on $\mathbb{P}^1$. ($\mathbb{Q}^n$ is a smooth hyperquadric in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.)

When $r = n - 1$, by Lanteri and Maeda ([LM2], [M]), $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ if and only if $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the following:

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-1)})$;
- (2) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (3) $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-1)})$;
- (4) $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{F})$ for some vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} (H(\mathcal{F}) + \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b_j))$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the bundle projection.

Theorem 1.7. Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{E}$ an ample vector bundle of rank $r < n$ on X . When $1 < r < n - 1$, we have $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 1$ if and only if $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the following:

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$;
- (2) $(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3})$;
- (3) $(\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$.

Proof. The following argument is similar to that in (1.5). First we note that if $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the above cases (1), (2) and (3), then we find that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 1$ by computations. Suppose that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 1$ on the contrary. Then we have

$$(K_X + (n - r)c_1(\mathcal{E}))c_1(\mathcal{E})^{n-r-1}c_r(\mathcal{E}) = 2g(X, \mathcal{E}) - 2 = 0.$$

If $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E} \neq \mathcal{O}_X$, we infer that $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E}$ is not nef as before. Then we find that $r = 1$, $r = n - 1$, or $r = 2$ and $n = 4$ by using an extremal ray. When $r = 2$ and $n = 4$, we get $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \neq 1$ by the same argument as that in the proof of (1.5). Thus it remains to consider the case $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Suppose that $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $1 < r < n - 1$ in the following. Since $K_X + (n - r - 1) \det \mathcal{E}$ is not nef, there exists an extremal rational curve C such that $(K_X + (n - r - 1) \det \mathcal{E})C < 0$ and $l(R) = (-K_X)C$, where $R := \mathbb{R}_+[C]$. Since

$$n + 1 \geq (-K_X)C = (n - r)c_1(\mathcal{E})C \geq (n - r)r \geq 2(n - 2),$$

we see that $(n, r) = (4, 2)$, $(5, 2)$, or $(5, 3)$.

When $(n, r) = (5, 2)$, we have $l(R) = 6 = n + 1$, hence $\text{Pic } X \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $-K_X$ is ample. We set $\det \mathcal{E} = aH$ for the ample generator H of $\text{Pic } X$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$; then $a = 1$ or 2 since $c_1(\mathcal{E})C = 2$. In case $a = 2$, we have $K_X + 6H = 0$, hence $(X, H) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by the Kobayashi-Ochiai theorem [KO]. Then we get $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}$ since $\det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(2)$. This is the case (1) of our theorem. In case $a = 1$, we have $\text{Pic } X = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \det \mathcal{E}$ and $-K_X = 3 \det \mathcal{E}$.

Let $p : \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ be the projective bundle associated to $\mathcal{E}$. For $P := \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$, we see that $-K_P = 2H(\mathcal{E}) + p^*(2 \det \mathcal{E})$ is ample and P has two extremal rays. One of them is generated by the class of a fiber of p ; let R' be another extremal ray and $f_{R'} : P \rightarrow W$ the contraction morphism of R' . We take an extremal rational curve C' belonging to R' and satisfying $l(R') = (-K_P)C'$. We have

$$(-K_P)C' = 2H(\mathcal{E})C' + p^*(2 \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot C' \geq 6$$

since $p(C')$ is a rational curve on X . Hence from [W, (2.4) Proposition], we infer that $l(R') = 6$, W is a curve, $\text{Pic } F \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $-K_F$ is ample for a general fiber F of $f_{R'}$. It follows that $H(\mathcal{E})C' = 1$ and $p^*(2 \det \mathcal{E}) \cdot C' = 4$, hence we get $[p^*(2 \det \mathcal{E})]_F = 4H(\mathcal{E})|_F$ and $-K_F = (-K_P)|_F = 6H(\mathcal{E})|_F$. Then we get $(F, H(\mathcal{E})|_F) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}(1))$ by the Kobayashi-Ochiai theorem. Since $p(C')$ is a curve on X , we infer that $p|_F : F \rightarrow X$ is finite and surjective. Hence we get $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^5$ by [Laz, Theorem 4.1]. This is a contradiction since $\text{Pic } X \simeq \mathbb{Z} \cdot \det \mathcal{E}$.

When $(n, r) = (4, 2)$ or $(5, 3)$, we have $r = n - 2$, $K_X + \det \mathcal{E}$ is not nef, and $(-K_X - \det \mathcal{E})C = (\det \mathcal{E})C \geq 2$. Hence by [Z, Proposition 1.1'], $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the following:

- (i) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-2)})$;
- (ii) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-3)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (iii) $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-2)})$;
- (iv) $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{E} = H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are vector bundles on a smooth curve B such that $\text{rank } \mathcal{F} = n$, $\text{rank } \mathcal{G} = n - 2$, and π is the bundle projection $X \rightarrow B$.

In case (i) (resp. (iii)), we get $n = 5$ (resp $n = 4$) from $K_X + 2 \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$, which leads to the case (2) (resp. (3)) of our theorem. Case (ii) is ruled out by $K_X + 2 \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $n \geq 4$.

In case (iv), we get $n = 4$ and $K_B + \det \mathcal{F} + 2 \det \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_B$ from $K_X + 2 \det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Since $\det \mathcal{E} = 2H(\mathcal{F}) + \pi^* \det \mathcal{G}$ is ample, we have

$$0 < (\det \mathcal{E})^4 = 16H(\mathcal{F})^4 + 32H(\mathcal{F})^3(\pi^* \det \mathcal{G}) = 16c_1(\mathcal{F}) + 32c_1(\mathcal{G}).$$

Hence we find that $\deg K_B < 0$, and then $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $c_1(\mathcal{F}) + 2c_1(\mathcal{G}) = 2$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$, we can write $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b_2)$ for some $a_i, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_4$. A natural surjection $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1)$ determines a section $Z := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1))$ of π . Since $H(\mathcal{F})|_Z = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1)$, we have $\mathcal{E}_Z = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1 + b_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1 + b_2)$, hence $a_1 + b_1 > 0$ and $a_1 + b_2 > 0$. It follows that

$$2 = c_1(\mathcal{F}) + 2c_1(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i + 2b_1 + 2b_2 \geq 4,$$

a contradiction. We have thus proved the theorem. $\square$

Remark 1.8. Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in (1.7). When $r = 1$, by Fujita [F2, Corollary 2] or Ionescu [I, Corollary 9], $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 1$ if and only if $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is either a Del Pezzo manifold (i.e. $K_X + (n-1)\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$) or $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}))$ for some ample vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n on an elliptic curve B . Note that Del Pezzo manifolds have been classified in [F1].

When $r = n-1$, Lanteri and Maeda ([LM2], [M]) have shown that if $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 1$, then $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the following:

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3))$;
- (2) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-3)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2})$;
- (3) $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{N}(2))$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the null correlation bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ (see [OSS, p. 76])
- (4) $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus(n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (5) $(\mathbb{Q}^3, \mathcal{S}(2))$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the spinor bundle on $\mathbb{Q}^3$ (see [Ot, Definition 1.3])
- (6) $(\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathcal{S}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$;
- (7) $(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2, 1))$;
- (8) $(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, p_1^* \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(0, 1))$, where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{P}^2$ and p_1 is the first projection $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$;
- (9) X is a Fano manifold of index $n-1$ with $\text{Pic } X \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathcal{E} = H^{\oplus(n-1)}$ for the ample generator H of $\text{Pic } X$;
- (10) $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{F})$ for some vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n on an elliptic curve B , and $\mathcal{E}_F = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$ for every fiber F of the bundle projection $X \rightarrow B$;
- (11) $n = 4$ and $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ has two projective $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle structures over smooth Fano 4-folds of index 1 with $b_2 = 1$ and pseudoindex ≥ 3 .

2. A relation between $g(X, \mathcal{E})$ and $q(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and A a spanned ample line bundle on X . Then we have $g(X, A) \geq q(X)$, and equality holds if and only if (X, A) is one of the following:*

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$;
- (2) $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (3) $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$;
- (4) $(\mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{F}), H(\mathcal{F}))$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a spanned ample vector bundle of rank n on a smooth curve B .

Proof. See, e.g., [BeS, Theorem 7.2.10]. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{E}$ a spanned ample vector bundle of rank $r < n$ on X . Then we have $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \geq q(X)$. Moreover, when $1 < r < n - 1$, we have $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = q(X)$ if and only if $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$.*

Proof. (Cf. [LMS, Introduction].) Since $\mathcal{E}$ is spanned, by (1.3), there exists a nonzero section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ whose zero locus $Z := (s)_0$ is a smooth submanifold of X of dimension $n - r$. Then a natural map $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ is injective by a Lefschetz-type theorem [LM1, Theorem 1.3]. It follows that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ is also injective and $q(X) \leq q(Z)$. On the other hand, we have $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = g(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z)$ and $\det \mathcal{E}_Z$ is ample and spanned. Hence we get $g(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z) \geq q(Z)$ by (2.1), thus $g(X, \mathcal{E}) \geq q(X)$.

Suppose that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = q(X)$ and $1 < r < n - 1$ in the following. Then we get $g(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z) = q(Z)$ by the argument above. Since $\det \mathcal{E}_Z$ is ample and spanned, $(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z)$ is one of the four cases in (2.1). If $(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z)$ is the case (4) in (2.1), then $(\det \mathcal{E}_Z)C = 1$ for some curve C in a fiber of the bundle map $Z \rightarrow B$, which is impossible. If $(Z, \det \mathcal{E}_Z)$ is one of the other cases in (2.1), we get $q(Z) = 0$ and then $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. It follows that $(X, \mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2})$ by (1.5). On the other hand, it is easy to see that $g(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}) = 0 = q(\mathbb{P}^4)$. We have thus proved the theorem. $\square$

Remark 2.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in (2.2). When $r = n - 1$, Lanteri, Maeda and Sommesse [LMS, Theorem] have shown that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = q(X)$ if and only if $(X, \mathcal{E})$ is one of the following:

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-1)})$;
- (2) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$;
- (3) $(\mathbb{Q}^n, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-1)})$;
- (4) $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_B(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{E} = H(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are vector bundles on a smooth curve B with $\text{rank } \mathcal{F} = n$ and $\text{rank } \mathcal{G} = n - 1$, and $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is the bundle projection.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{E})$ be as in (2.2). Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = q(X)$.
- (ii) $h^0(m(K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E})) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$.
- (iii) $K_X + (n - r) \det \mathcal{E}$ is not nef.

Proof. The assertion holds when $r = 1$ (resp. $r = n - 1$) by [S, (4.1) and (4.2)] (resp. [LMS, (2.1) Corollary]). Hence we may assume that $1 < r < n - 1$. If (i) holds, then we easily find that (ii) holds by (2.2). If (ii) holds, then (iii) holds by the base point free theorem. If (iii) holds, then we find that $g(X, \mathcal{E}) = 0 = q(X)$ by the argument in (1.5). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Ba] E. Ballico, *On vector bundles on 3-folds with sectional genus 1*, Trans. A. M. S. **324** (1991), 135-147.

- [BeS] M. C. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *The Adjunction Theory of Complex Projective Varieties*, Expositions in Math., vol. 16, de Gruyter, 1995.
- [BlG] S. Bloch and D. Gieseker, *The positivity of the Chern classes of an ample vector bundle*, Invent. Math. **12** (1971), 112–117.
- [F1] T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one, I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980), 709–725; *II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **33** (1981), 415–434; *III*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **36** (1984), 75–89.
- [F2] T. Fujita, *On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive*, in Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., vol. 10, Kinokuniya, 1987, pp. 167–178.
- [F3] T. Fujita, *Ample vector bundles of small c_1 -sectional genera*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **29** (1989), 1–16.
- [FulL] W. Fulton and R. Lazarsfeld, *Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. **118** (1983), 35–60.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 52, Springer, 1977.
- [I] P. Ionescu, *Generalized adjunction and applications*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **99** (1986), 457–472.
- [KO] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.
- [LM1] A. Lanteri and H. Maeda, *Ample vector bundles with sections vanishing on projective spaces or quadrics*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), 587–600.
- [LM2] A. Lanteri and H. Maeda, *Ample vector bundles of curve genus one*, preprint.
- [LMS] A. Lanteri, H. Maeda and A. J. Sommese, *Ample and spanned vector bundles of minimal curve genus*, Arch. Math. **66** (1996), 141–149.
- [Laz] R. Lazarsfeld, *Some applications of the theory of positive vector bundles*, in Complete Intersections, Acireale 1983, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1092, Springer, 1984, pp. 29–61.
- [M] H. Maeda, *Ample vector bundles of small curve genera*, preprint.
- [OSS] C. Okonek, M. Schneider and H. Spindler, *Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces*, Progress in Math., vol. 3, Birkhäuser, 1980.
- [Ot] G. Ottaviani, *Spinor bundles on quadrics*, Trans. A. M. S. **307** (1988), 301–316.
- [S] A. J. Sommese, *On the adjunction theoretic structure of projective varieties*, in Proceedings of the Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry Conference, Göttingen 1985, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1194, Springer, 1986, pp. 175–213.
- [W] J. A. Wiśniewski, *Length of extremal rays and generalized adjunction*, Math. Z. **200** (1989), 409–427.
- [Z] Q. Zhang, *A theorem on the adjoint system for vector bundles*, Manuscripta Math. **70** (1991), 189–201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, OH-OKAYAMA, ME-GURO, TOKYO 152, JAPAN

E-mail address: ishihara@math.titech.ac.jp